IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CRIMINAL NO. 1:22cr58-LG-BWR VS.

PATRICK DARNELL DANIELS

VOLUME II

TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE LOUIS GUIROLA, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

> JULY 25, 2022 GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT:

JONATHAN BUCKNER, ESQUIRE ERICA ROSE, ESQUIRE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE - GULFPORT 1575 20TH AVENUE GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI 39501

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

JOHN WEBER, ESQUIRE LEILANI TYNES, ESQUIRE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER - GULFPORT 2510 14TH STREET, SUITE 902 GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI 39501

REPORTED BY: SHERRI L. PENNY, RPR, FCRR 2012 15TH STREET, SUITE 403 GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI 39501

(228)563-1781

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	VOLUME II
3	Preliminary Instructions to the Jury88
4	Exhibits G-1 through G-29 Admitted102
5	Opening Statement by Mr. Buckner109
6	Opening Statement by Mr. Weber111
7	WITNESSES FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
8	RAY BELL
9	Direct Examination By Ms. Rose113
10	Cross-Examination By Mr. Weber130
11	SHANE LYNES
12	Direct Examination By Mr. Buckner139
13	Cross-Examination By Mr. Weber146
14	STEPHANIE ARMAS
15	Direct Examination By Ms. Rose147
16	Cross-Examination By Ms. Tynes152
17	Reporter's Certificate170
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(PREVIOUS VOLUME I CONTAINS SEALED JURY VOIR DIRE)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you are required to take an additional oath, and that is an oath to render a true and correct verdict based on the law and the evidence. If you would please rise, raise your right hand and allow the clerk of the court to administer that oath.

(JURY SWORN)

THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. Please be seated.

I was going to tell you what we're going to do for the remainder of the morning, but the morning is gone, so I will tell you what we're going to do for the next couple of hours. First and foremost, I am going to -- when you go back to the jury room, we have got menus in there for you so you can order some lunch, and we're going to get you some lunch that you can eat right here in the courthouse before we actually begin the trial in earnest.

From time to time during this trial, the Court will be providing you with instructions, and these are instructions on the law. And when I provide you with those instructions, you have already told me and you have told the lawyers and the litigants that you are going to follow the law, so I expect that you will follow my instructions as well.

We will have some instructions before you leave the

courtroom, we call those the preliminary instructions. Those are instructions that will assist you during the trial itself, tell you a little bit about the trial and the processes of the trial. It will also assist you in determining what your conduct should be outside of the courtroom and how you should comport yourself when you go home because you will not be sequestered.

Please bear in mind that from time to time I will have to, perhaps, meet with the lawyers or take care of other matters that need to be taken up outside of your presence and you will be asked to go back to the jury room.

Now, when you do recess here this morning -- this afternoon for your lunch, we'll make available to you a telephone because even though you knew you had to be here this morning, you could not have anticipated that you would actually be selected as a juror. You may need to call your employer, you may need to call your home, you may need to call your children, make arrangements, I do not know. But we want to make arrangements for you to have the opportunity to call someone and let them know where you will be.

In addition to that, I get asked this question all the time: Do I have to sit in the same seat? No. Sit anywhere you would like to within the jury box where you feel comfortable, and that I will leave entirely up to you.

When you do go back to the jury room, there will be

refreshments available for you, soft drinks, coffee, water, those types of things. And, gee, what else? What other housekeeping matters do I need to go over with you? We'll also have -- Stan reminds me -- you don't have to take notes. But if you would like to take notes, there will be writing implements back there for you, pads, pens, pencils, whatever you need for taking notes if you wish to do so.

Other than that, we'll play it by ear and take it as we come along. Sometimes I get asked, can I bring a Coke back into the courtroom with me? No, you can't do that. Because if you drop it, it becomes a mess. But if you want to bring a bottle of water back in the courtroom with you, you are perfectly free to do that. I think there are bottles, aren't there, Vicki? There are bottled waters. If you want to bring a bottled water back in here, go for it.

When you do leave the courthouse today, Stan will be your liaison with the Court. If you have got a question for me, give it to him and he will bring it to me. You're also going to be provided with a pass so that you can park tomorrow in the compound. That means that you go through the secure gate and you're able to park within what we call the compound. The chances of you running into any of the lawyers or any of the witnesses or anybody at all that has anything to do with this case are zero, because once you are in the compound, the Court Security Officer will tell you where to assemble so he can

bring you up the elevators. That eliminates a lot of stress and problems. All right, then. We'll go through these preliminary instructions. Again, when you get back into the jury room, if you need to make a phone call you may. By all means, order your lunches and we'll have those delivered to you.

PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY

Members of the jury, now that you have been sworn I will give you some preliminary instructions to guide you in your participation in the trial. It will be your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You and you alone will be the judges of the facts.

You will then have to apply to those facts the law as the Court will give it to you. You must follow that law whether you agree with it or not. Perform these duties fairly. Do not let bias, sympathy or prejudice that you may feel toward one side or the other influence your decisions in any way. In particular, do not let racial, ethnic, national origin or other bias influence your decisions in any way. Nothing the Court may say or do during the course of trial is intended to indicate or should be taken by you as indicating what your verdict should be.

The evidence from which you will find the facts will consist of testimony of witnesses, documents, and other items received into the records as exhibits and any facts that the

lawyers may agree upon or stipulate to that the Court may instruct you to find.

Certain things, however, are not evidence and must not be considered by you, and I will list some of them for you now:

Number 1, statements, arguments, and questions by lawyers are not evidence.

Number 2, objections to questions are not evidence.

Lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make objections when they believe evidence is being offered which is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the Court's ruling on it. If the objection is sustained, ignore the question. If it is overruled, treat the answer like any other. If you are instructed that some item of evidence is received for a limited purpose only, then you must follow that instructions.

Number 3, testimony that the Court has excluded or told you to disregard is not evidence presented here in the courtroom and should not be considered.

Number 4, anything that you may have seen, heard, or read outside of the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence presented here and in the courtroom.

There are two kinds of evidence, direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as the testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial

evidence is the proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exists. I will give you further instructions on these, as well as other matters, at the end of the case, but keep in mind that you may consider both kinds of evidence.

It will be up to you to decide which witnesses to believe, which witnesses not to believe, and how much of any witness' testimony to accept or reject. I will give you some guidelines for determining the credibility of witnesses at the end of the case.

As you know, this is a criminal case. And there are three basic rules about a criminal case that you must always keep in mind. First, the defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty. The indictment brought by the government against the defendant is only an accusation, nothing more. It is not proof of guilt or anything else. The defendant therefore starts out with a clean slate.

Second, the burden of proof is on the government until the very end of the case. The defendant has no burden to prove his innocence or to present any evidence or to testify. Since the defendant has the right to remain silent, the law prohibits you from arriving at your verdict by considering that the defendant may not have testified.

And third, the government must prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. I will give you further

instructions on this point later, but bear in mind that in this respect, a criminal case is different from a civil case. I will give you detailed instructions on the law at the end of the case and those instructions will control your deliberations and your decisions. But in order to help you follow the evidence, I will now give you a brief summary of the elements of the offense that the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt to make its case.

Now, in this case the defendant is charged with knowingly possessing a firearm which was in and affecting interstate or foreign commerce while knowingly being an unlawful user of a controlled substance.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, you must be convinced that the government has proved each of the following beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant knowingly possessed a firearm as charged; second, that at the time the defendant possessed the firearm, the defendant was an unlawful user of any controlled substance; third, that the defendant knew he was an unlawful user of an illegal controlled substance; and fourth, that the firearm possessed traveled in interstate or foreign commerce. That is before the defendant possessed the firearm, it had traveled at some time from one state to another or between any part of the United States and any other country.

Now, the term "firearm" means any weapon that will be or

is designed or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of an explosion. The term "firearm" also includes the frame or receiver of any such weapon or any firearm muffler or firearm silencer or destructive device.

Marijuana is a controlled substance within the meaning of the law. The phrase "unlawful user of a controlled substance" means a person who uses a controlled substance in a manner other than as prescribed by a licensed physician. The defendant must have been actively engaged in the use of a controlled substance at the precise time he possessed the firearm. Such use is not limited to the use of drugs on a particular day or within a matter of days or weeks before, but rather that the unlawful use has occurred recently enough to indicate that the individual is actively engaged in such conduct. An inference that a person was a user of a controlled substance may be drawn from the evidence of a pattern of use or possession of a controlled substance that reasonably covers the time the firearm was possessed.

"Possession," as that term is used in these instructions
may be of two kinds: It may be actual possession or
constructive possession. A person who knowingly has direct
physical control over a thing at a given time is in actual
possession of it. A person who, although not in actual
possession, knowingly has both the power and the intention at a
given time to exercise dominion or control over a thing, either

directly or through another person or persons, is in constructive possession of it.

Possession may be joint or it may be sole. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is sole. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of a thing, then possession is joint. You may find the element of possession is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant had actual or constructive possession, either alone or jointly with others.

The word "knowingly," as that term has been used from time to time in these instructions, means that the act was voluntarily and intentionally, not because of mistake or accident.

Ladies and gentlemen, a few words about your conduct as jurors. If you would like to take notes during the trial, you may do so. On the other hand, you are not required to take notes if you prefer not to do so. Each of you should make your own decision about this. If you do decide to take notes, be careful not to get so involved in the note-taking that you become distracted from the ongoing proceedings. Your notes should be used only as memory aids. You should not give your notes precedence over your independent recollection of the evidence. If you do not take notes, you should rely upon your own independent recollection of the proceedings. And you should not be unduly influenced by the notes of other jurors.

Notes are not entitled to any greater weight than the memory or impression of each juror as to what the testimony may have been. Whether you take notes or not, each of you must form and express your own opinion as to the facts of the case.

Now, you will note that we have an official court reporter making a record of the trial. However, we will not have typewritten transcripts of this record available for your use in reaching a decision.

During the course of the trial, do not speak with any witness or with the defendant or with any of the lawyers in the case. Please do not talk with them about any subject at all. You may be unaware of the identity of everyone connected with this case; therefore, in order to avoid even the appearance of impropriety, do not engage in any conversation with anyone in or about the courtroom or courthouse. It is best that you remain in the jury room during breaks in the trial, and do not linger in the hall.

In addition, during the course of the trial do not talk about the trial with anyone else, not your family, not your friends, not the people with whom you work. Also, do not discuss this case among yourselves until I have instructed you on the law and you have gone into the jury room to make your decision at the end of the trial. Otherwise, without realizing it, you may start forming opinions before the trial is over. It is important that you wait until all the evidence is

received and you have heard my instructions on the rules of law before you deliberate among yourselves.

You, as jurors, must decide this case based solely on the evidence presented here within the four walls of this courtroom. This means that during the trial you must not conduct any independent research about the case, the matters in the case, or the individuals or corporations involved in the case. In other words, you should not consult dictionaries or reference materials, search the internet, websites or blogs, or use any other electronic tools to obtain information about this case or to help you decide the case. Please do not try to find out any information from any source outside the confines of the courtroom.

I know that many of you use cell phones, the Internet and other tools of technology. You also must not talk to anyone at any time about this case or use these tools to communicate electronically with anyone about the case; this includes your family, and your friends. You may not communicate with anyone about the case through any means, including your cell phone, through an email, a BlackBerry, iPhone, text messaging, SnapChat, Twitter or through any blog or website including Facebook, Google, WhatsApp, or YouTube. You may not use any similar technology of social media even if I have not specifically mentioned it here.

I expect you will inform me as soon as you become aware of

any other juror's violation of these instructions. A juror who violates these restrictions jeopardizes the fairness of these proceedings and a mistrial could result which would require the entire trial process to start over.

I will now give you a little roadmap to help you follow what will happen over the entire course of the trial. First, the government will make an opening statement, which is simply an outline to help you understand the evidence as it is admitted. Next, the defendant's attorney may, but does not have to make an opening statement. Opening statements are neither evidence nor arguments. The government will then present its witnesses, and counsel for the defendant may cross-examine them. Following the government's case, the defendant may, if he wishes, present witnesses whom the government may cross-examine. If the defendant decides to present evidence, the government may introduce rebuttal evidence.

After all of the evidence is in, the attorneys will present their closing arguments to summarize and interpret the evidence for you, and the Court will instruct you on the law, the law that you must apply in reaching your verdict.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, I am going to go ahead now and excuse you so that you can go back to the jury room as we discussed, make a phone call if you need to, and by all means, on your menus, order your late lunch, which will be delivered

to you in the jury room. After you have had your lunch, we'll reconvene, and we'll begin the trial in earnest. Anything else on behalf of the government at this time?

MS. ROSE: No.

THE COURT: Anything also on behalf of the defendant?

MR. WEBER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well, then. Ladies and gentlemen, you may be excused.

(JURY OUT AT 12:56 P.M.)

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.

I think it would only be fair to excuse you all as well so that you can have a fair opportunity to go get something to eat. And I want to say come back at 2:00. At 2:00, I will take up the defendant's pending motion to dismiss the indictment. I will hear short, brief oral argument on the issue. I will hear briefly from the government as well, and then I will give you my ruling before we proceed. I can't really think of anything else that I need to go over, but does the government have anything else we need to go over before we proceed?

MR. BUCKNER: Your Honor, the government had filed a motion in limine concerning the jury instructions. The Court already gave the preliminary instruction. I understand we'll have a jury charge conference later on. So I think that the Court could address that at that time, it's not necessary.

1 That motion is premature. I will take up THE COURT: the jury instructions at the close of the case, assuming we get 2 that far. 3 4 MR. BUCKNER: Yes, Your Honor. 5 **THE COURT:** Anything else? MR. BUCKNER: Nothing, else Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Will you be ready with your first witness right after opening? 8 9 MS. ROSE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Does either side wish to invoke the Rule 10 of Sequestration? 11 12 MR. BUCKNER: The government does, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The Rule of Sequestration is 13 therefore invoked, that means that anyone who will testify in 14 15 the case must, of course, be excused. That, obviously, does 16 not apply to the defendant and it does not apply to the 17 representative of the government here at counsel table. 18 Please, if there's someone that you anticipate that will testify, help me enforce the rule because I don't know who is 19 20 who here, all right? Anything else on of behalf of the 21 government? 22 MS. ROSE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Weber, anything else that you think 23 24 we need to take up at this time on behalf of Mr. Daniels? 25 MR. WEBER: Your Honor, no. But I think the

government has a number of pictures I believe they intend to introduce, it's on their exhibit list. And I think we can get together and perhaps preadmit those pictures.

THE COURT: I think it would be, perhaps, a timesaver if when we return, and after I have ruled on the defendant's motion, if we can go ahead and admit all exhibits to which there is no objection, both by the government and, if there are any, by defendant. So I'd ask that during this recess that you go over those in the event that there are some available that we can just go ahead and admit without objection and provide to the clerk.

One other thing. I know that there are firearms involved that you will be bringing into the courtroom. Let us -- I want to be sure that they're safe, that is my greatest concern. And they will remain, even though they are admitted into evidence, they will remain in the custody of the prosecution during the trial, and even after the trial is concluded would leave to substitute photographs. Anything else?

MS. ROSE: No. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Weber, anything else that you can think of?

MR. WEBER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Great. Well, enjoy our late lunch and we'll be back at 2:00.

(RECESS TAKEN AT 1:00 P.M. UNTIL 2:17 P.M.)

on the defendant's vehicle. G-4 is a photo of the defendant's

vehicle from the driver's side. G-5 is a photo of the driver

24

25

1 and front passenger compartments. G-6 is a photo of the 2 driver's side view from the front. G-7 is a photo of the VIN 3 for defendant's vehicle. G-8 is a photo of the defendant's 4 vehicle on the passenger side. G-9 is a photo of the front 5 passenger side compartment. G-10 is a photo of the rear passenger side compartment. G-11 is a photo of the toolbox. 6 7 G-12 is a photo of the serial number of the assault rifle. G-13 is a photo of the side-view of the assault rifle. G-14 is 8 9 a photo of the overall view of the assault rifle. G-15 is a 10 photo of the assault rifle manufacturer. G-16 is a photo of 11 the assault rifle model. G-17 is a photo of the assault rifle 12 from the other side view. G-18 is a photo of the handgun as a side-view. G-19 is another photo of the handgun. G-20 is a 13 14 photo of the handqun's serial number. G-21 is a photo of the 15 handgun's manufacturer. G-22 is another photo of the handgun serial number. G-23 is a photo of the defendant's driver's 16 17 license. G-24 is the defendant's recorded interview. G-24A is 18 the transcript of the defendant's recorded interview. 19 the American Tactical Rifle. G-25A is the magazine that 20 accompanies that rifle. G-25B is the ammunition that goes with that rifle. G-26 is the Springfield Armory Hellcat 21 9-millimeter firearm. G-26A is the ammunition that goes to 22 that firearm. G-26B is the magazine that goes to that firearm. 23 G-27 is the ATF firearm nexus report. G-28 is the smoked 24 marijuana cigarettes, or blunts. And G-29 is the DEA lab 25

report.

Ms

underst

these ex

Government

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Tynes, Mr. Weber, on behalf of the defendant, it's my understanding that you have no objection to the admission of these exhibits; is that correct?

MR. WEBER: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. Without objection,

Government's Exhibits number 1 through 29, inclusive of some

lettered exhibits as well, 1 through 29 will be marked and

admitted into evidence, again, without objection.

You can, of course, use those exhibits as you wish during the presentation of the evidence.

(EXHIBITS G-1 THROUGH G-29 ADMITTED)

MS. ROSE: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The next matter before the Court is the defendant's second motion to dismiss the indictment. Mr. Weber, will you be arguing that on behalf of the defendant?

MR. WEBER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MR. WEBER: Your Honor, we've filed a second motion to dismiss the indictment arguing that 18, United States Code, Section 922(g)(3) is unconstitutionally vague and in violation of Mr. Daniels' due process rights.

THE COURT: Why don't you just argue that from counsel table. We have got the lecturn turned and that might be clunky.

MR. WEBER: If the Court doesn't mind, I can --

THE COURT: Go right ahead.

MR. WEBER: In our briefing, in our motion in our briefing we pointed out to the Court that we believe 922(g)(3) is facially vague. We understand or acknowledge the current law in the Fifth Circuit, a case of Patterson, which requires that the defendant, in this particular case, first show that as applied to his particular case, to his particular facts, as applied that law is vague. And that's -- I am not arguing that that is the case here. What I am suggesting is that under Johnson versus United States, which is a case that analyzed the Armed Career Criminal Act, Justice Scalia authored that opinion and seemed to suggest that there is no need to, first, show an as-applied violation of the defendant's due process rights, and that the Court can address a facially vague challenge to the statute.

And I am relying specifically on -- this is not John Weber making this argument, this was a case that we found in the District Court of Utah where a district court judge over there found that 922(g)(3) was both facially and, as applied, vague to that particular defendant.

I did not cite this in my brief, but there's a Fifth Circuit case, *United States versus Herrera*, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, it's a 2002 case. The citation is 313 F.3d 882. And there's a strong dissent from Judge DeMoss joined by Judge Jerry Smith.

And that case, the *en banc* Fifth Circuit did not go into -- did not even address the vagueness or as-applied constitutional challenge to 922(g)(3); however, in the dissent, Judge DeMoss talks about some of the similar issues or concerns that we raise to the Court today, that is there is no statutory definition of unlawful user. Congress did not define this particular term, and therefore what is the Court going to rely on to define what is an unlawful user.

I don't know if the Court is in a position to even address that particular facially vague argument because of the status of the Fifth Circuit law, but if the Court were to address the facial challenge to the statute, the Court would find that Congress has not defined that term "unlawful user," and that's essentially why we're here today is to try to figure out what that term means. And I know the Court has given a preliminary instruction to the jury, but in fashioning jury instructions or proposed jury instructions to the Court, that was something that we wrestled with as to how to properly instruct a jury as to what that term means, and that, of course, in essence, is our argument as to why this statute, why 922(g)(3) is vague.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Weber. What says the government?

MR. BUCKNER: Your Honor, I think as defense counsel has at least implied, the Fifth Circuit's precedent establishes that you have to show an as-applied challenge before you can

bring a facially vague challenge to a statute. And in this case, there is no dispute that an ordinary person would understand that smoking marijuana 14 times a month for several years would qualify one's self as an unlawful user of a controlled substance. So because the Fifth Circuit has made it clear in both Patterson and May, both of which were cited in the government's response, that you have to be able to show an as-applied violation before you reach that facial argument, the motion to dismiss should be denied. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Buckner. Do you wish to respond, Mr. Weber?

MR. WEBER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I think you will concede, Mr. Weber, that the Court must follow the *Johnson* line of cases in determining whether or not Mr. Daniels can bring a facial as-applied challenge to the statute. I think that's what you said.

MR. WEBER: I said, Your Honor, that the law in the Fifth Circuit under Patterson requires that Mr. Daniels find that the law, as applied to the facts and circumstances of his case, is vague; in other words, the conduct at issue in this particular case is at the core concern or reason, or seems to be the reason why Congress passed this law or implemented this particular law.

THE COURT: All right. I am not in agreement with the District Court decision in the district in Utah, and I am

not in a position to adopt that District Court's analysis on the challenge to the statute there. I am constrained, instead, by a couple of cases within the Fifth Circuit, and I am also persuaded by a case out of the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals. And I start with your contention that Johnson somehow has changed this landscape with facial challenges to the constitutionality of a statute. There's a follow-up case to the Johnson case, which was decided in 2015, it's called United States versus Westbrooks, that's at 858 F.3d 317, where the Fifth Circuit basically, and I quote, said that "Johnson did not change the rule that defendant whose conduct is clearly prohibited cannot be the one making that challenge." I am also persuaded once again by a case out of the Eighth Circuit, it's a 2016 case, United States versus Bramer, B-R-A-M-E-R, that's at 832 F.3d 908.

Now, in Bramer the Eighth Circuit held that under the Johnson factors, that Bramer need not prove that 922(g)(3) is vague in all its applications to succeed on a facial challenge, but the law did still require him to show that the statute is vague as applied to his particular conduct before bringing that facial challenge. Because Bramer admitted in his written plea agreement to being an unlawful user of marijuana while in knowing possession of at least three firearms, there was no basis in the record to conclude that the term "unlawful user of a controlled substance" was unconstitutionally vague as applied

to him.

Therefore, I am going to deny your motion at this time. And let me point out that what's concerning the Court here is I don't have the facts before me to determine what the facts are as they relate to Mr. Daniels. So even though your motion is denied, you will, of course, have other opportunities within which to make, perhaps, a motion for judgment of acquittal at the conclusion of the government's case, at which time we'll have at least before us those facts from which the Court can conclude whether a facial challenge has been made. And that's the ruling of the Court.

MR. WEBER: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: You're not prejudiced in any regards in your ability to bring a similar challenge after the government concludes its case.

I am given to understand that the lunches are late. They are here. That still makes them late. And I want to give the jury a full opportunity within which to eat and relax and take it easy. So everyone needs to stay close. We're going to be on the jury's clock. When they're ready -- I am getting a 3 signal from the court security officer, that's about half an hour from now, more or less. That may be the clock which we use.

Is there anything else that we need to take up on behalf of the government before we, again, take a recess to await the

MS. ROSE: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. WEBER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Defendant ready to proceed?

5

6

9

10

20

23

24

25

THE COURT: Very good. Please bring in the jury.

2 (JURY IN AT 3:04 P.M.)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

* || ·

hope that you had -- that you were able to enjoy your lunch.

The parties have indicated to the Court that they are ready to

proceed. You may make your opening statement on behalf of the government.

MR. BUCKNER: May I take the lecturn, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Of course.

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNMENT

MR. BUCKNER: May it please the Court, counsel opposite, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. The evidence and testimony that you see and hear in this case will show that on or about April 25 of 2022, the defendant, Patrick Darnell Daniels, Jr., was an unlawful user of a controlled substance in possession of a firearm.

Now, you may be wondering how will the evidence and testimony show that. Well, the evidence will show that on April 25 of 2022, law enforcement officers in Hancock County, Mississippi, pulled over an F-150 pickup truck for operating without a license plate. Mr. Daniels was the only person inside the truck. When officers approached, they smelled the odor of smoked marijuana coming from the vehicle. They searched the car. And during the course of searching the vehicle, they located two firearms. One was a Springfield

Armory 9-millimeter pistol, it was loaded. You will get to see 1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it today. One was an American Tactical assault-style rifle. It was also loaded. It had a 30-round magazine. You will get to see that one today, too. Officers also located what they believe to be marijuana blunts or cigarettes smoked in the ashtray of the vehicle.

After the traffic stop, Mr. Daniels spoke with police and he admitted that he had smoked marijuana approximately 14 days a month since he graduated from high school.

He also admitted that he possessed both of the guns that were found in the vehicle. He admitted it, he said, yeah, I possess both of those firearms. Now, the investigation didn't conclude with Mr. Daniels' confession. You will also hear testimony about how the two guns were examined and researched by a special agent with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms & Explosives. His name is Shane Lynes. He is going to explain to you how he examined those weapons, conducted the research to determine where they were manufactured. Because remember at the beginning of the case, Judge Guirola gave you some instructions about how the guns needed to move across state lines or from another country into this country. Agent Lynes is going to tell you where those guns were made. They weren't made in Mississippi. One of them was made in Indiana and one of them was made in Croatia. So in order to be in Mr. Daniels' truck in Hancock County, they had to move

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

across the state lines and/or from one country into the United States.

So you're going to hear that testimony, too. Now, you will recall I told you that officers smelled the odor of smoked marijuana, and when they stopped Mr. Daniels they also found some marijuana blunts, cigarettes. You're also going to hear some testimony from the DEA chemist, a chemist with the Drug Enforcement Administration laboratory, and she's going to explain how she tested those smoked blunts and it came back marijuana, which was consistent with Mr. Daniels own admission that he smoked marijuana.

Finally, at the conclusion of this trial, based upon all the evidence and testimony that you have heard, seen and heard, the government is going to ask that you find that on or about April 25 of 2022, the defendant, Patrick Darnell Daniels, Jr., was an unlawful user of a controlled substance in possession of a firearm. And the government is going to ask that you return a verdict of quilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Buckner. Mr. Weber, you may make an opening statement on behalf of Mr. Daniels.

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE DEFENDANT

Something is missing. Something is MR. WEBER: That's what you're going to say at the end of the government's case. This case is about marijuana. This case involves guns. This case involves a legal definition of user

that the judge is going to give you an instruction as to the definition of that. And as you heard the judge say, your job is to listen and determine the facts and apply the law and come up with a verdict, a decision.

Did the government prove their case, each and every element of the offense, beyond a reasonable doubt. That's your job, ladies and gentlemen. And in this case, when they finish providing you the evidence and the testimony, you're going to scratch your head and you're going to have a lot of questions because something is missing. What's missing is proof beyond a reasonable doubt that Patrick Daniels is an unlawful user of marijuana in possession of a firearm.

You're going to have no proof beyond a reasonable doubt that he meets that definition that the government has provided you evidence that you can make that conclusion. And when you uphold your oath to hold them to their burden, there's only one conclusion, and that is that Patrick Daniels is not guilty of a violation of 922(g)(3), being an unlawful user in possession of firearms.

Thank you for your attention. Thank you for the time that you have taken to participate in our system of justice. As the judge said, it's the best in the world, it's not perfect. But I am excited that you get to be here and participate and be a part of this great judicial system that we're all proud to be a part of. Thank you.

Office, and I am tasked out to the DEA to work federal cases.

How long have you been doing that?

And that whole time with Hancock County?

No, ma'am. I was with Long Beach at one point.

Since January 3 of 2017.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Α.

- 1 Q. Long Beach here --
- 2 A. Long Beach Police Department.
- 3 Q. And what about before that?
- 4 A. I did 20 years with Long Beach.
- Q. Okay. So how long have you been a law enforcement officer
- 6 | overall?
- 7 A. Since August 1st of 2002.
- 8 Q. Okay. And were you working as a task force officer
- 9 assigned to the DEA on April 25 of this year?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. And on that date, did you encounter someone by the name of
- 12 Patrick Darnell Daniels, Jr.?
- 13 A. I did, yes, ma'am.
- 14 Q. Could you tell us about that encounter?
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am. Myself and another agent were on patrol
- 16 | duties over in Hancock County. And noticed Mr. Daniels'
- 17 | vehicle operating on the roadway without a licensed tag. We
- 18 | conducted a traffic stop, at which point noticed the odor of
- 19 marijuana coming from within the vehicle. Probable cause
- 20 search of the vehicle yielded the firearms and taking Mr.
- 21 Daniels into custody.
- 22 | Q. Where were the firearms located in the vehicle?
- 23 A. The first firearm, which is the handgun, it was located
- 24 | between the driver's seat and the center console. The rifle
- was behind -- it was in the backseat compartment of the truck.

- 1 Q. What do you mean by "compartment"?
- 2 A. Like the truck was four doors, so the front two doors
- 3 | would be where Mr. Daniels was sitting, and the back two doors
- 4 | is where the rifle was.
- 5 Q. Located where?
- 6 A. Like right behind -- if this is the center console of the
- 7 | truck (indicating), the rifle was laying this way like on the
- 8 litems that was on the backseat compartment area of the truck.
- 9 Q. Within reach of the driver?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what else was found in the vehicle?
- 12 A. We found marijuana blunts that were in the ashtray.
- 13 Q. Tell us more about that.
- 14 A. It was multiple. Whenever we spoke further with Mr.
- Daniels about it. He used the word "corral." He indicated
- 16 that he was living out of his vehicle and he was trying to get
- 17 | everything together and indicated that he just kind of took
- 18 some things out of the ashtray and left those in the ashtray
- 19 and vacuumed his passenger seat area.
- 20 Q. And this was a statement that he made --
- 21 A. Post-Miranda.
- 22 Q. Okay. How many statements did he make to you?
- 23 A. He made one statement on scene, which was post-Miranda.
- 24 | Then he made another statement, which was audio recorded
- 25 post-Miranda at DEA.

- Q. And you're using the term "post-Miranda." Can you just
- 2 elaborate what that means for the jurors, please?
- A. Yes, it's when I advise a defendant of their rights and
- 4 then I speak to them after that.
- 5 Q. And what statements did he make at that actual stop?
- 6 A. He advised me that he was living out of his vehicle. He
- 7 advised me that he was on hard times, and he advised me that
- 8 the firearms were his. One of the firearms belonged to a
- 9 | friend of his that is no longer living, and another -- the
- 10 other firearm, which is the handqun, that firearm was given to
- 11 him as a Father's Day gift from his girlfriend.
- 12 Q. And did he make any admissions with respect to the use of
- 13 | marijuana?
- 14 A. Yes, he did. When asked how current or how often he
- 15 smokes marijuana, he indicated 14 days out of the month.
- 16 Q. Did he tell you how long he's been smoking marijuana?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am. In the statement at DEA, he indicated since
- 18 | high school, when he graduated high school.
- 19 Q. Okay. After you recovered the firearms and the marijuana
- 20 | blunts, then what did you do?
- 21 A. I took photos of the evidence and the vehicle, and we took
- 22 | custody of Mr. Daniels.
- 23 MS. ROSE: Your Honor, may I approach the evidence?
- 24 **THE COURT:** Of course.
- 25 MS. ROSE: And may I approach the witness?

BY MS. ROSE:

1

- Q. Officer Bell, I just handed you what's been marked as
- 3 Government's Exhibits 1 through 23. Would you mind just taking
- 4 a look through those?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. Are those the photos that you just referenced?
- 7 A. They are, yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q. Are those all the photos that you took that day?
- 9 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q. And are they fair and accurate representations of the
- 11 scene as you saw it?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 MS. ROSE: Your Honor, may I have permission to
- 14 | publish those photos?
- 15 **THE COURT:** You may.
- 16 BY MS. ROSE:
- 17 | Q. Are you able to see that?
- 18 A. I am, yes, ma'am.
- 19 | Q. I am showing you what's been marked as Government's
- 20 | Exhibit G-1. What are we looking at here?
- 21 A. This is the driver's seat of Mr. Daniels' vehicle. You
- 22 | will notice the rifle that is propped up. I moved that rifle
- 23 | in that position. In fact, everything that is in this photo
- 24 here that's depicted, I put there. The marijuana blunts are
- 25 currently bagged down here on the floor, on the floorboard.

- 1 And I just kind of took everything to put there to corral for
- 2 an overall photo.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to show you now what's been marked as
- 4 G-2. What are we looking at here?
- 5 A. Those are the marijuana blunts with ammunition under it.
- 6 But in the bag right there is the marijuana blunts that were
- 7 | located in the ashtray of Mr. Daniels' vehicle.
- 8 Q. And I am showing you G-3.
- 9 A. That is a photo of the rear end of Mr. Daniels' vehicle
- 10 | without a tag, without a license plate.
- 11 Q. And that's the reason you stopped him?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. And what do we have in G-4?
- 14 A. It's just an overall view of the driver's side from the
- 15 | rear of -- standing at the rear of Mr. Daniels' vehicle.
- 16 Q. And now looking at G-5.
- 17 A. This would depict the front driver's and front passenger
- 18 compartment of the vehicle.
- 19 Q. And G-6?
- 20 A. This is a photo standing at the front driver's side
- 21 | facing, or pointing back towards the rear to get an overall
- 22 || shot of the driver's side of the vehicle.
- 23 Q. And G-7?
- 24 A. Would be the VIN for the vehicle.
- 25 Q. And G-8?

- A. Going to be an overall shot of the passenger side of the vehicle.
- 3 Q. G-9?
- A. Going to be looking inside the passenger front compartment of the vehicle.
- 6 Q. And G-10?
- 7 A. That's going to be looking in the rear compartment of the vehicle on the passenger side.
- 9 Q. And looking at G-11?
- 10 A. That's going to be the toolbox that was in the back, or 11 the bed portion of Mr. Daniels' vehicle.
- Q. And what is the significance of some of these photos that you have taken with respect to the toolbox, the open vehicle
- doors, the VIN number, etcetera?
- 15 A. It's evidentiary. It gives a view. I can't just take the vehicle and put it anywhere, so we take photos to document the evidence and what we were seeing.
- Q. Okay. I am now showing you what's been marked as G-12.
- 19 What are we looking at here?
- 20 A. That is going to be the serial number of the American
- 21 Tactical rifle that was located in Mr. Daniels' vehicle.
- Q. Okay. And with respect to G-13?
- A. It's going to be a side-view, I believe this is just
- 24 before being zoomed in, on the serial number of the rifle.
- Q. And what are we looking at in G-14?

- 1 A. That's going to be an overall view of the rifle itself.
- 2 Q. And what about G-15?
- 3 A. That's going to show the brand of the rifle, and it is an
- 4 American Tactical.
- 5 Q. And now looking at G-16, what are we seeing here?
- 6 A. It's going to be the model of the rifle.
- 7 Q. And Exhibit G-17?
- 8 A. It's going to be the opposite side. And again, it's going
- 9 to be just before zoomed in on the model.
- 10 | Q. What about G-18?
- 11 A. That's going to be the Hellcat handgun that was located in
- 12 Mr. Daniels' vehicle.
- 13 Q. And G-19?
- 14 A. Going to be another overall shot of the Hellcat, same
- 15 thing.
- 16 Q. And looking at G-20?
- 17 A. That's going to be the serial number on the Hellcat
- 18 handqun.
- 19 Q. And with respect to G-21?
- 20 A. That's going to be the opposite side of the handgun
- 21 overall.
- 22 Q. And what are we looking at in G-22?
- 23 A. That's going to be the serial number for the handgun.
- 24 | Q. And last but not least, Government's G-23?
- 25 A. That's going to be the identification card, Mississippi ID

- 1 card for Mr. Daniels.
- 2 Q. And you previously mentioned that you interviewed the
- 3 defendant also at the station?
- 4 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 5 Q. And do you see the person that you interviewed here in the
- 6 | courtroom today?
- 7 A. Yes, it's Mr. Daniels.
- 8 Q. Could you please point him out and describe what he is
- 9 wearing?
- 10 A. Mr. Daniels is wearing a white shirt with a blue tie.
- 11 MS. ROSE: May the record reflect that he has
- 12 | identified the defendant?
- 13 **THE COURT:** So reflected.
- 14 MS. ROSE: Thank you.
- 15 BY MS. ROSE:

- Q. So you recorded that interview; is that correct?
- 17 A. We did, yes, ma'am.
- 18 0. And have you listened to that interview?
- 19 A. I have, yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q. And is it a fair and accurate production of the interview
- 21 that you had that day?
- 22 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 23 Q. All right.
- MS. ROSE: With the Court's permission, I'd like to
- 25 play G-24. And I do have transcripts as well that are G-24A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

I am showing you what's been marked G-24A. Are you

familiar with that document? 23

> Α. I am.

Q. And what is it?

- 1 A. That is a transcript excerpt from the post-Miranda
- 2 interview of Mr. Daniels at the DEA office on April 25th, 2022.
 - Q. And did your office prepare that?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And is it a fair and accurate transcript of what's in the recording?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
 - MS. ROSE: Your Honor, may I have permission to play G-24?
 - **THE COURT:** Now you're ready to play it?
- 11 MS. ROSE: Yes, sir.
 - THE COURT: I am having a little trouble hearing you, it's probably my fault. But before you play the recording, I need to instruct the jury on how they're to consider it.
 - Ladies and gentlemen, there is an instruction that I need to give to you on the manner in which you should consider the evidence that you are about to hear.

Exhibit Number 24 has been identified -- I'm sorry, 24A has been identified as a typewritten transcript of the oral conversation which can be heard on a tape recording received in evidence as Exhibit Number 24. The transcript also purports to identify the speakers engaged in this conversation.

Now, I have admitted these transcripts for the limited and secondary purpose of aiding you in following the content of the conversation as you listen to the tape recording and also to

1 aid you in identifying the speakers. You are specifically 2 instructed that whether the transcript correctly or incorrectly 3 reflects the content of the conversation, or the identity of 4 the speakers is entirely for you to determine based upon your 5 own evaluation of the testimony you have heard concerning the preparation of the transcript and from your own examination of 6 7 the transcript in relation to your hearing of the tape recording itself as the primary evidence of its own contents. 8 9 And if you should determine that the transcript is in any 10 respect incorrect or unreliable, you should disregard it to 11 that extent. It is what you hear on the tape that is evidence, 12 not the transcripts. You may proceed.

- MS. ROSE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 14 (Audio Recording Played).
- 15 BY MS. ROSE:
 - Q. Was that your entire interview?
- 17 | A. No.

13

16

- 18 Q. I'm sorry. Was that the conclusion of your interview?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
 - Q. On the interview, the first voice that we hear, who is that?
- 21 | that?
- 22 A. That's me.
- 23 Q. And then the second voice?
- 24 A. It is Patrick Daniels.
- 25 Q. And then the third voice?

- A. It's going to be Keith Chappel, Special Agent with the
- DEA.
- Q. In the interview, the defendant references something, the
- 4 | last time we met at Circle K?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What is he referring to?
- 7 A. Whenever I was in training, whenever I moved over to
- 8 Hancock County, I was in training learning the roads and
- 9 getting familiar with the area. We had an occasion to come
- 10 | into contact with Mr. Daniels, at which time he was found with
- 11 multiple bags of marijuana and firearms with him at that time
- 12 as well.
- 13 Q. And did he admit being a user of marijuana on that
- 14 occasion?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And when was that?
- 17 A. I believe it was March 8th. I started there March 7th, I
- 18 think it was either March 8th or March 9th.
- 19 Q. Of this year?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. I am going to show you what's been marked as
- 22 | Government's Exhibit G-25.
- 23 MS. ROSE: May I approach him, Your Honor?
- 24 **THE COURT:** You may.
- 25 BY MS. ROSE:

- 1 | Q. What are we looking at here, Mr. Bell?
- 2 A. This is the Mil Sport 556 rifle.
- Q. And is that the one that you recovered from the vehicle?
- 4 A. It is, yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. And when you recovered it, was it in that exact condition?
- 6 A. Minus the zip ties, the tag and the sticker, yes.
- 7 Q. Did it have a magazine with it?
 - A. It did, yes.

- Q. Was the magazine affixed to the firearm?
- 10 A. It was. It was engaged into the port here, and it
- 11 contained 20 rounds of 556 ammunition.
- 12 MS. ROSE: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 13 **THE COURT:** You may.
- 14 BY MS. ROSE:
- Q. I am going to show you what's been marked as G-25A and
- 16 G-25B. Do you recognize those items?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 18 0. And what are they?
- 19 A. This is the magazine. Government's Exhibit G-25A is the
- 20 magazine that was inserted into the rifle and contained.
- 21 Government's Exhibit G-25B, which is the 556 ammunition.
- 22 | Q. And is that the ammunition that goes to that firearm?
- 23 A. It is, yes, ma'am.
- 24 | Q. And they're in -- two of those items are in bags; is that
- 25 || correct?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. Did you put those items in those bags?
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 4 | Q. Did you write your name on them or anything?
- 5 A. Right here (indicating). Acquired by, sealed by,
- 6 witnessed by.
- 7 Q. And that's all you?
- 8 A. With the exception of the witnessed by, and I believe the
- 9 other one is going to be Keith Chappell. I can't read the
- 10 | handwriting, but I believe it's Keith Chappell as the witness.
- 11 MS. ROSE: Your Honor, may I approach again?
- 12 BY MS. ROSE:
- 13 Q. I am going to now show you what's been marked G-26, as
- 14 | well as G-26A and G-26B. Do you recognize those items?
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am, I do.
- 16 Q. And what is G-26?
- 17 \blacksquare A. G-26 is the Hellcat handgun that was located in Mr.
- 18 Daniels' vehicle.
- 19 Q. And what about G-26A?
- 20 A. G-26A is the ammunition that was loaded into G-26B, which
- 21 was also loaded into the firearm.
- 22 Q. And what is G-26B?
- 23 A. G-26B is the magazine.
- Q. G-26A, ammunition, was inside G-26B which was affixed to
- 25 | the firearm?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. So when you found both of these firearms, they were
- 3 loaded?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. And is your name on those bags as well?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Once you recovered those firearms, what did you do with
- 8 them?
- 9 A. I take them back to DEA. We processed the firearms by way
- of taking photos of the firearms. We separate the ammunition
- 11 | from the magazine and from the firearm itself, ultimately
- 12 processing it into a bag to insert it, or I'm sorry, to submit
- 13 | it to our non-drug evidence custodian at DEA.
- 14 Q. Does anything else happen with the firearm?
- 15 A. Yes, the firearm was test fired. In fact, both of them
- 16 were test fired. I also ran eTrace reports, and I also
- 17 submitted specific information about the firearms to a special
- 18 agent of the ATF, Shane Lynes.
- 19 Q. What is an eTrace report?
- 20 A. An eTrace report, it gives me back information about the
- 21 | firearm, where it was purchased from originally, where it came
- 22 || from.
- 23 Q. Okay. And then you said you gave it to the ATF agent?
- 24 | A. I did, yes, ma'am, so that he could also do his report.
- 25 | Q. And that's with both firearms?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 MS. ROSE: May I approach again, Your Honor?
- 4 BY MS. ROSE:
- Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as G-28. What
- 6 are we looking at here?
- 7 A. This is the marijuana blunts that were located inside Mr.
- 8 Daniels' vehicle.
- 9 Q. And is your name also on that bag?
- 10 A. It is, yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. What did you do with those blunts?
- 12 A. Same as with the firearms. We take them back to the
- office, we'll process them, we'll take photos of it, and then
- 14 | we'll submit it to our crime lab for their analysis.
- 15 Q. And is that what you did in this case?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 MS. ROSE: May I have just a moment, Your Honor?
- 18 BY MS. ROSE:
- 19 Q. In the course of your investigation, did you ascertain how
- 20 old Mr. Daniels is?
- 21 A. Twenty-six years of age.
- 22 Q. And that's how old he was on April 25th?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 Q. And on April 25th of this year, would Mr. Daniels have had
- 25 any legal reason to be using marijuana?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. But he was using it at the time of your stop?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And all of this occurred in Hancock County in the Southern
- 5 Division of the Southern District of Mississippi?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 MS. ROSE: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
- 8 **THE COURT:** Cross-examination?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. WEBER:

- Q. I want to start off with a question based on the last
- 12 question that Ms. Rose asked you.
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You said you and your partner were doing patrol duties;
- 15 right?

9

- 16 A. Well, it wasn't patrol duties in a marked patrol car.
- Q. So you testified that you were on patrol duties. Were you
- on patrol duties or were you not on patrol duties?
- 19 A. I was assigned to the narcotics division, as I still am
- today, and we were in an unmarked vehicle doing patrol duties.
- 21 We were doing things that patrol would do, but we were in an
- 22 unmarked vehicle.
- Q. Okay. And you come across Patrick Daniels, you recognize
- 24 | the truck because you have had a previous encounter with him;
- 25 | correct?

- 1 A. Actually, I didn't recognize the truck because at this
- 2 particular time he had different after-market wheels on the
- 3 | vehicle, so no, I didn't know the truck was actually Mr.
- 4 Daniels'.
- Q. But you saw from the pictures you took that those wheels
- 6 were in the back of the truck; correct?
- 7 A. Right.
- 8 Q. And you conduct a traffic stop; is that right?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Now, you are a task force agent assigned to the DEA;
- 11 | right?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Your parent law enforcement agency is Hancock County?
- 14 A. That's correct, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. I think you testified that you started there shortly
- 16 before May 8th or 9th; is that correct?
- 17 A. No, sir, I started there March 7th, I am sorry.
- 18 Q. I'm sorry, March. Prior to that, you were with Long Beach
- 19 | Police Department?
- 20 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 21 Q. And when you were with Long Beach department, were you
- 22 assigned as a task force officer with DEA?
- 23 A. I was, yes, sir.
- 24 Q. And now that you switched parent organizations, you're
- 25 | learning the ropes then; right?

- 1 A. Learning the roads. The laws and that nature of things,
- 2 that doesn't really change from agency to agency. But my
- 3 | biggest issue was I didn't know where I was at in Hancock
- 4 County physically, the roadways.
- 5 Q. So you and your partner are conducting these patrol duties
- 6 | in an unmarked vehicle?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And can you describe for the jury what vehicle, what your
- 9 | vehicle looks like?
- 10 A. Yes, it's a 2021 Chevy 1500 Silverado, has a silver
- 11 | toolbox on the back. Depending on how you look at it, it could
- 12 be brown from one angle, it could be black from the other.
- 13 Q. And do you have police lights and sirens and the items or
- 14 tools associated with law enforcement?
- 15 A. Yes, I do. All of that is affixed to the vehicle.
- 16 Q. And by looking at your vehicle, can you tell that you're
- 17 | law enforcement?
- 18 | A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. And do you have what's commonly referred to as a dash-cam
- 20 to record traffic stops such as this one?
- 21 A. No, sir, I do not.
- 22 | Q. Is it a policy of Hancock County or the DEA to have these
- 23 types of recording devices so that we can see exactly what was
- 24 | happening at the time of the stop?
- 25 A. Not for narcotics, no, sir.

- 1 Q. And when you approach Mr. Daniels, were you wearing any
- 2 kind of equipment to record your interaction with Mr. Daniels
- 3 so that we could see exactly what was said or done?
- 4 A. No, sir, I was not.
- 5 Q. And that's because there's a policy that doesn't require
- 6 you to have these types of tools or items to gather evidence
- 7 and collect evidence during a traffic stop?
- 8 A. That is correct, nor is it issued.
- 9 Q. Excuse me?
- 10 A. It's not issued, either.
- 11 | Q. Now, you said when you saw the vehicle, you stopped the
- 12 vehicle, you approached the vehicle and you smelled the odor of
- 13 marijuana; is that correct?
- 14 A. That's correct, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Is it your testimony that Mr. Daniels was actively engaged
- 16 | in smoking marijuana?
- 17 A. No, sir. I can tell you that I just smelled marijuana
- 18 almost immediately upon contact.
- 19 Q. And subsequent to a search of the vehicle, you found what
- 20 you describe as blunts; is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Can you tell the jury what a blunt is?
- 23 A. A blunt, or a marijuana cigarette, would be something that
- 24 | is started out as a usual size, I don't know, four- or
- 25 ||five-inch cigarette that is either rolled up with marijuana or

- 1 a blunt wrapper that's rolled up with marijuana, and once the
- 2 subject uses the marijuana, it's the burnt end.
- Q. And you collected the burnt end of those blunts, then;
- 4 | correct?
- 5 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 6 Q. And that's one of the exhibits in front of you?
- 7 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 8 Q. And those blunts were found in an ashtray?
- 9 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 10 MR. WEBER: Government's Exhibits 1, 2, 10 and 11,
- 11 the pictures.
- 12 **THE COURT:** I believe all of the photographs -- those
- 13 photographs? She didn't put them back? All right.
- 14 BY MR. WEBER:
- Q. I am showing you Government Exhibit 1. And what you
- 16 testified to is that after you gathered the firearms and the
- 17 marijuana, you collected them and took this picture,
- 18 Government's Exhibit 1?
- 19 A. That's correct, yes, sir.
- 20 Q. And this is not how these items were situated in the
- 21 | vehicle?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- Q. Government Exhibit 2 appears to be a closer image of
- 24 | focusing in on what you describe as the blunts; is that
- 25 || correct?

- 1 A. That's correct, yes, sir.
- Q. And Mr. Daniels said that he was living out of back of his
- 3 car, or truck?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And so we have evidence that that's -- some truth to that.
- 6 We see, what, a pillow and a blanket; is that right?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 | Q. He told you that the AR-15 belonged to someone else?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. You did something called an eTrace report?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And the eTrace tells us when that firearm was purchased
- 13 until the time that it was acquired or seized by you, then;
- 14 | correct?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Do you recall looking at the eTrace report of that
- 17 particular firearm, the AR-15, who purchased that firearm?
- 18 A. I do not, not without looking at the eTrace report. I'm
- 19 sorry, I don't recall that name.
- 20 Q. Do you have that eTrace report?
- 21 A. It's in my reports, but I don't have it with me.
- 22 Q. We'll get back to that. The bottom line is, is Patrick
- 23 Daniels wasn't the purchaser of that firearm?
- 24 A. I can't recall. I can't recall the names that was on
- 25 eTrace.

1 MR. WEBER: Your Honor, may we take a break or try to

2 | find this report?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

THE COURT: I'll let you take it up -- do you have his report available?

MS. ROSE: I don't believe we have the eTrace here in court, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Was that provided to counsel opposite during discovery?

MR. WEBER: No, it wasn't.

THE COURT: It was not? Let's get back to that later on. Let's go ahead and proceed with your cross-examination.

He says he doesn't know. He says he doesn't know for sure, but it may be in his report.

14 BY MR. WEBER:

- Q. You also did an eTrace report on the 9-millimeter pistol?
- 16 A. That's correct, yes, sir.
- Q. And who was the original purchaser of that particular firearm?
- 19 A. I don't recall, sir.
- Q. And you don't know whether or not it was Patrick Daniels?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 Q. You don't know who it was?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- Q. In preparing for your testimony today, did you review these eTrace reports?

- 1 A. I did not, no, sir.
- 2 Q. You testified that, and we heard on the recording that
- 3 there was a previous interaction between you and Mr. Daniels
- 4 approximately on March 9th at a Circle K?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And you testified that there were firearms and marijuana?
- 7 A. Correct, yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And did you charge Mr. Daniels with possession of those
- 9 | firearms?
- 10 A. I did not, no, sir.
- 11 Q. Did anyone charge Mr. Daniels with possession of those
- 12 | firearms back on April the 25th?
- 13 A. I believe Mr. Daniels was only charged with an outstanding
- 14 warrant on that date.
- Q. So despite the fact that you found him in possession of
- 16 | firearms and marijuana, you didn't charge him with those
- 17 offenses?
- 18 A. No, sir, I did not.
- 19 MR. WEBER: Court's indulgence.
- 20 BY MR. WEBER:
- Q. Going back to this encounter on March 9th at Circle K, did
- 22 you do a report on that encounter?
- 23 A. I did not. And the reason I did not is because I didn't
- 24 | have access to Hancock County's database. I was two days in
- 25 and did not have access to anything, so I was just attempting

MR. BUCKNER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you raise your right hand and be sworn, please.

(Oath Administered)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 SHANE LYNES,

having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. BUCKNER:

1

2

- Q. Can you please introduce yourself and spell your last name
- 5 | for the record?
- 6 A. My name is Shane Lynes, L-Y-N-E-S, and I am a federal
- 7 agent for the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms &
- 8 Explosives, commonly known as the ATF.
- 9 Q. How long have you worked as an ATF agent?
- 10 MR. WEBER: Your Honor, we would accept Special Agent
- 11 Lynes as an expert in the identification of firearms.
- 12 **THE COURT:** Thank you. You may proceed.

13 BY MR. BUCKNER:

- 14 Q. How long have you worked as a special agent with the ATF?
- 15 A. I have been with ATF since 2007. Prior to that, I started
- my law enforcement career in 2001, and then prior to that I was
- 17 | in the Air Force.
- 18 Q. And you have heard the defense acknowledge that they're
- 19 going to accept your expert qualifications. But briefly, have
- 20 you received any special training for determining where guns
- 21 | are manufactured and whether or not they qualify as firearms?
- 22 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury a little bit
- 24 about that.
- 25 A. The regular training or the advanced training?

- 1 | Q. The training specifically related to interstate nexus?
- 2 A. So after I was an agent for a few years, I went to the
- 3 Firearms Technology Branch up in West Virginia where they have
- 4 an assortment of over 10,000 firearms. There, I examined
- 5 | thousands of firearms and I learned to use a systematic
- 6 scientific method where I would look at the firearm, write down
- 7 the observations on it, form a hypothesis, and then through my
- 8 personal, knowledge, experience, training, education, and the
- 9 research I conducted on that, I would test that hypothesis.
- 10 | Q. And that training that you received, those techniques that
- 11 you learned, did you apply those to what you did in this case?
- 12 | A. I did.
- 13 Q. And specifically, did you have the opportunity to examine
- 14 | two firearms related to this case?
- 15 A. I did, and I also test fired them.
- 16 Q. And did you generate a report after you conducted your
- 17 | initial examination?
- 18 A. So I was first contacted in May of 2020, or 2022 on this
- 19 case. I examined them, the markings off of the photos. And
- 20 then last week I actually physically examined the firearms, to
- 21 | include function test them.
- 22 | Q. And so you did an initial examination of photographs and
- 23 then physically examined the firearms after that?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- 25 MR. BUCKNER: Your Honor, may I approach the table?

1 THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. BUCKNER:

- Q. I have handed you what's been admitted as G-27. Is that
- 4 the initial report that you prepared after your viewing of the
- 5 photographs?

2

- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 | Q. And were you able to determine, based on reviewing the
- 8 photographs, where you believed those firearms to be
- 9 | manufactured?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. And that's reflected in your report?
- 12 A. Absolutely.
- 13 MR. BUCKNER: May I approach again, Your Honor?
- 14 **THE COURT:** Yeah. You don't have to ask me again.
- 15 If you need to come forward, do so.
- 16 MR. BUCKNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 17 **THE COURT:** Sure.

18 BY MR. BUCKNER:

- 19 Q. Agent Lynes, I have handed you what's been marked as G-25.
- 20 Can you make sure that's safe?
- 21 A. I already have, yes, sir.
- 22 Q. You're good?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And in addition to G-25, there's G-25A and G25B. Do you
- 25 see those?

- 1 A. Yes, sir, I do. I am not familiar with G-25B, the
- 2 ammunition.
- Q. Okay. But G-25A, can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of
- 4 the jury what that is?
- 5 A. G-25A is a magazine capable -- that I was told that was
- 6 | with this firearm, and it's considered high-capacity magazine.
- 7 Q. And let's go back to G-25A. -- I mean G-25, I apologize,
- 8 the firearm itself.
- 9 A. Yes, sir, I have it.
- 10 Q. So when you examined G-25, did you examine that and
- 11 | conduct research into that firearm?
- 12 | A. I did.
- 13 Q. And based upon your examination and research, do you have
- 14 an opinion as to whether this gun qualifies as a firearm under
- 15 | federal law?
- 16 A. It does qualify as a firearm. I also test fired it last
- week and it did function as designed.
- Q. And, also, were you able to determine where that firearm
- 19 was manufactured?
- 20 A. Yes, I did through -- so part of what I do is called
- 21 | interstate commerce, and what interstate commerce is, is from
- 22 one place in one state to another place in another state, but
- 23 not within the same state. So for instance, if I was in, say,
- 24 Mississippi and traveled to Louisiana and crossed the state
- 25 line going into another state, that's effecting interstate

1 So they also have a thing called foreign commerce, 2 which is -- which is like within the United States or its

- territories to another country that's not controlled or
- 4 operated by the United States. So that's interstate and
- 5 foreign commerce.

manufactured?

3

6

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So when you examined G-25, based on your examination and 7 research, what was your opinion as to where that firearm was
- 9 It was not manufactured in the State of Mississippi.
- 10 Ο. Now, are there markings on that firearm that would appear 11 to imply where it was made?
 - It would appear so. Part of what I do, I have a library in my office of reference material, but we also have what's called ATF literature, which is a marking variance. So the best way to explain what a marking variance is, say that I own the rights to a firearm, I am an engineer, I designed it, this is what I want, but I don't have the materials or the machine shop to actually make it, but I know a friend that does, say, in Alabama. So I can apply to the ATF, the Firearms Industry Program Branch, and ask for a marking variance. So after 1968, the Gun Control Act, it required manufacturers to actually put where it was made, the importer's information, and use a unique serial number that could not be duplicated. So in this particular case with a marking variance, I can put my information on there saying it was made right here in Gulfport,

- 1 Mississippi, but it was really made in Alabama by my friend if
- 2 that's approved by ATF.
- 3 Q. So that specific firearm, the markings on that, where
- 4 | would it appear that it was manufactured?
- 5 A. By American Tactical in Summerville, South Carolina.
- 6 Q. Now, based on your research and your examination of that
- 7 | firearm, where was that gun actually made?
- 8 A. In Indiana by BCI Defense.
- 9 Q. And did the manufacturer of that firearm obtain a variance
- 10 in order to put that South Carolina information on the gun when
- 11 | it was actually manufactured in Indiana?
- 12 A. Yes, sir, they did.
- 13 Q. But regardless, that gun wasn't made in Mississippi, was
- 14 | it?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 Q. So if that firearm was recovered in Mississippi, do you
- 17 have an opinion as to whether it would have had to travel in
- 18 | interstate commerce in order to be here?
- 19 A. It would've had to -- to be possessed in Mississippi, it
- 20 | would've had to effect interstate commerce to be here today.
- 21 Q. You also examined a handgun; is that correct?
- 22 A. That is correct, it's a Springfield.
- Q. And were you able to determine where that firearm was
- 24 manufactured as well?
- 25 A. Yes. That's actually manufactured in Croatia and then

- 1 | imported through Springfield Armory. So earlier when I was
- 2 talking about foreign commerce, Croatia is actually part of
- 3 | Europe, not part of the United States, so it would've had to
- 4 | effect foreign commerce to be inside the United States.
- 5 Springfield Armory has been importing guns from Croatia since
- 6 about 2003, and so that was imported there, assembled, finished
- 7 assembly, and then shipped from Springfield, Illinois.
- 8 Q. I have handed you what's been marked G-26. Is that the
- 9 | Springfield Armory Hellcat 9-millimeter that you examined?
- 10 A. Trying to look at the serial number through the bag. That
- 11 is correct, yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And did you also test fire that weapon?
- 13 A. I did, and it functioned as designed.
- 14 Q. So for that gun to have been recovered in Mississippi, do
- 15 you have an opinion as to whether or not it would've had to
- 16 travel in interstate or foreign commerce?
- 17 | A. Both.
- 18 | 0. It would've had to do both?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 MR. BUCKNER: May I have the Court's indulgence for
- 21 one moment, Your Honor?
- 22 If I may have use of the Elmo, Your Honor.
- 23 **THE COURT:** Sure.
- 24 BY MR. BUCKNER:
- 25 Q. Looking here, this is Government's Exhibit G-27. I

- 1 | believe you have a copy in front of you. That's the report you
- 2 prepared from the photographs of the firearms; is that correct?
- A. Yes, sir. And on Exhibit 1, when I say it was
- 4 manufactured by HS Product, it's spelled with a K, that's
- 5 actually the correct spelling on how Croatia spells it.
- 6 Q. Exhibit one, that's the handgun; is that correct?
- 7 A. Correct, the Springfield Armory. That's one of their R
- 8 series. It came out in 2019. They actually make like a
- 9 Hellcat, a Bobcat, and a Firecat. It's out of their R series.
- 10 0. And Exhibit 2 is the rifle?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 MR. BUCKNER: Tender the witness, Your Honor.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Cross-examination?
- 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. WEBER:
- 16 Q. Special Agent Lynes, you are a recognized expert in
- 17 | firearm identification and interstate commerce?
- 18 A. Yes, sir, that is correct. I also teach it.
- 19 Q. Right. And you are also a special agent with the ATF?
- 20 A. That is correct, a criminal investigator.
- 21 Q. ATF is Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms & Explosives, ATFE,
- 22 then; right?
- 23 A. Correct, it's usually referred to on official documents,
- 24 BATFE, which stands for the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco,
- 25 Firearms & Explosives.

- Q. What's the focus or the mission of the ATF?
 - A. Firearms, violent crime.

- Q. And other than what you have just testified to as far as
- 4 whether or not these are considered firearms, whether or not
- 5 these exhibits are considered firearms, and whether or not they
- 6 traveled in interstate commerce, were you asked to do anything
- 7 lelse in this case concerning those two exhibits?
- 8 A. Besides the interstate nexus and test fire them, no.
 - MR. WEBER: No further questions.
- 10 **THE COURT:** Any redirect examination?
- 11 MR. BUCKNER: No, Your Honor.
- 12 **THE COURT:** You may be excused.
- Who is your next witness?
- 14 MS. ROSE: Your Honor, the government would call
- 15 Stephanie Armas, who is a chemist with the DEA lab.
- 16 (Oath Administered)
- 17 **THE COURT:** You may proceed.
- 18 MS. ROSE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 19 STEPHANIE ARMAS,
- 20 | having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MS. ROSE:
- 23 0. Good afternoon.
- 24 A. Good afternoon.
- 25 Q. Could you please state your name and spell your last name

- 1 for the record?
- 2 A. My name is Stephanie Armas, last name A-R-M-A-S.
- Q. Thank you, Ms. Armas. And what is your occupation?
- 4 A. I am a forensic chemist for the Drug Enforcement
- 5 Administration, short for DEA.
- 6 Q. And how long have you worked in that field?
 - A. I have worked for the DEA since 2020.
- 8 Q. And what is your educational background?
- 9 | A. I have two bachelor's degrees, one in forensic science and
- 10 another one in chemistry from the University of Central
- 11 | Florida, as well as a master's degree in chemistry, also from
- 12 the University of Central Florida.
- 13 Q. Do you possess any certificates or licenses?
- 14 A. I do not.

- 15 MS. TYNES: Your Honor, the defendant will stipulate
- 16 that she's an expert in the field of, I believe, forensic
- 17 chemistry.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Tynes. You may proceed.
- 19 MS. ROSE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 20 BY MS. ROSE:
- 21 Q. In your current job, what things do you do on a day-to-day
- 22 basis?
- 23 A. So my day-to-day job consists of analyzing various cases
- 24 from different agencies, such as FBI, DEA, ATF, that deals with
- 25 the analysis of the presence or absence of controlled

THE COURT: Sorry, I did not hear you.

MS. ROSE:

MS. ROSE:

BY MS. ROSE:

May I?

as G-28.

Α.

Q.

Α.

you need to, just go ahead and do it.

Thank you, Your Honor.

Looking at G-29, what is that?

How do you prepare that report?

I wrote up based on my findings.

MS. ROSE: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

May I approach the witness?

Ιf

THE COURT: Yes. And you don't have to ask me.

I'm going to show you what's been marked as G-29, as well

Looking at G-29, this is the chemical analysis report that

Well, when the evidence gets submitted and I pick it up, I

go through my analysis, protocol, I take a gross weight, which

is the weight of the entire packaging; a net weight, which is

Thank you, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 just the weight of the substance that I am going to analyze.
- 2 And I perform, in this case, three analyses, microscopic --
- 3 microscopic examination, a color test 4-AP, and gas
- 4 chromatography/mass spectrometry, short for GCMS. I close up
- 5 and write what the -- what I report on those findings.
- 6 Q. Do you recognize G-28?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q. What is that?
- 9 A. So, this is the exhibit that was submitted to the
- 10 | laboratory and the exhibit which I analyzed. And I can
- 11 recognize it based on my seal that's at the bottom, and the
- 12 lens number which is the unique identifier.
- 13 Q. So you performed your analysis on the items in that bag?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. And what conclusion did you come to upon completing your
- 16 | analysis?
- 17 A. I concluded the presence of marijuana.
- 18 Q. Your Honor, may I publish G-29?
- 19 **THE COURT:** Sure.
- 20 BY MS. ROSE:
- 21 Q. So looking at this here, just to help the jurors
- 22 | understand your report, so Exhibit 1, what is that referring
- 23 to?
- 24 A. Exhibit 1 is referring to the exhibit that was submitted.
- 25 Q. Okay. And it says "substance is identified as marijuana"?

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And what does the weight mean?
- 3 A. The net weight where it says .446 grams, plus or minus
- 4 .002 grams, is the weight of just the substance. So what was
- 5 submitted was multiple cigarette butts. I described the
- 6 packaging as cigarette or cigars, and it contained plant
- 7 | material. The net weight, what it's called, is just the weight
- 8 of that plant material.
- 9 | Q. What is the reserve weight, what does that mean?
- 10 A. The reserve weight just indicates whatever weight was left
- 11 upon completion of my analysis.
- 12 Q. And at the bottom where it talks about your analysis,
- 13 those are the tests that you described earlier?
- 14 A. I am sorry, can you -- do you refer to the summary of
- 15 tests?
- 16 | Q. Yes.
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am, those are the tests that I performed.
- 18 | O. And in your conclusion, was what was found in these
- 19 ||cigarette butts was marijuana?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 MS. ROSE: May I have just a moment, Your Honor?
- 22 BY MS. ROSE:
- Q. And do you offer all of your testimony today to a
- 24 | reasonable degree of scientific certainty?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

1 I have no further questions, Your Honor. MS. ROSE: 2 THE COURT: Cross-examination, Ms. Tynes? 3 MS. TYNES: Thank you, Your Honor. May I proceed? 4 5 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. TYNES: Good afternoon. 8 9 Good afternoon. Α. 10 Ms. Armas, you don't actually participate in the actual 11 investigation of the case; is that correct? 12 I do not. Α. So you're not present at the -- when the evidence is 13 14 collected; is that correct? 15 Α. I do not. 16 You just analyze the materials at the lab? 17 Α. Yes, ma'am. So as far as this case, you don't have any direct 18 19 knowledge about the specific facts regarding the case; is that 20 correct?

Okay. And again, you did not collect the sample involved;

And the samples, it's collected, generally, by law

That is correct.

That is correct.

is that correct?

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Α.

- 1 enforcement?
- 2 A. I would assume so.
- Q. You would assume so, okay. Somehow it's collected, it's
- 4 put in a bag; is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. And the bag is sealed, generally speaking?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q. And then how does it -- it gets to your lab. Do they mail
- 9 | it to the lab?
- 10 A. I believe in this case the task force officer hand
- 11 delivered it.
- 12 Q. To Miami?
- 13 A. I believe so.
- 14 Q. Would that be noted on your chain of custody?
- 15 A. That will be noted on the DEA 7.
- 16 Q. And do you have a copy of that with you?
- 17 | A. I do.
- 18 Q. Can you have a look?
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Thank you.
- 21 A. So, in fact, it was received from Ray W. Bell.
- 22 | Q. Directly to the lab in Miami?
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 | Q. Okay. And the date of that would've been on May 3 of
- 25 | 2022; is that correct?

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And I believe it was logged in by Clarissa, I am not going
- 3 to attempt to pronounce her last name?
- 4 A. Clarissa M. Karasalis (phonetic).
- 5 Q. And that's somebody who works at the lab; is that correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Generally speaking, when it's logged in the lab, it's
- 8 going to be signed somewhere on the packaging, is that correct,
- 9 or at least on the form, the chain of custody form; is that
- 10 | correct?
- 11 A. So when evidence comes to our laboratory, it goes through
- 12 a laboratory information management system. So everything gets
- 13 logged into the management system and it can be tracked.
- 14 Q. Okay. And that was done in this case; is that correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And the material that we're talking about in this case,
- 17 | it's marijuana blunts; is that correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. So like small little bitty burnt ends of what appears to
- 20 | be cigarettes; is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q. Something you would typically find in an ashtray; is that
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A. I cannot testify as to where it was found.
- 25 Q. And in this particular case, it was analyzed, I believe,

- 1 | last week; is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am, I believe so.
- Q. And then you provided the report that you produced; is
- 4 that correct?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. Now, marijuana is a plant material; is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q. And because it's a plant material, it also contains other
- 9 substances other than THC; is that correct?
- 10 A. As a plant, marijuana contains other cannabinoids that are
- 11 | inherent to the plant.
- 12 Q. And some of those things, for example, would be like CBD;
- 13 is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. And CBC; is that correct?
- 16 A. It could contain that. I don't believe I noted that in my
- 17 findings.
- 18 | O. Okay. Or is it THCA, that's another substance found in
- 19 | marijuana; is that correct?
- 20 A. It could be found in marijuana.
- 21 Q. And these are all naturally occurring substances because
- 22 | it's plant material; is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 | O. And when you test it, and you use the -- in this
- 25 particular case, I believe the gas chromatography/mass

spectrometry test, is that correct, is that what you used in

- 2 this case?
- 3 A. One of the tests.
- 4 Q. One of the tests. So there were several tests, one is the
- 5 AP color test; is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 | Q. And that's basically you put something on it and it turns
- 8 | a particular color if there's marijuana in there; is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. The way that the color test is performed, there's two
- 11 agents or two solvents, if you may. Once those solvents
- 12 | interact with the substance in the plant material, if it turns
- 13 | blue it indicates that the content of THC is greater than the
- 14 possible content of the CBD.
- Q. When you do the gas chromatography/mass spectrometry test,
- 16 ||it will show if there's other substances involved as well; is
- 17 | that correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And generally speaking, it prints out kind of a test
- 20 | result where there's, I think, best described as peaks?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 22 | Q. In this case there was some indication that there was
- 23 other substances involved in the sample; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. I believe it looked like maybe six other substances?

- 1 A. I do not recall. I would have to refresh my memory by
- 2 looking at the results.
- 3 Q. But at least more than THC?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And that is not noted on the report that you provided; is
- 6 | that correct?
- 7 A. The report contains a statement that is called OP
- 8 (phonetic) checked, I believe, where I mention that the THC to
- 9 internal standard ratio is greater than one. And I looked at
- 10 the other peaks, but they were not peaks of interest.
- 11 Q. In this case, I believe you said the net weight was
- 12 .446 grams; is that correct?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. Would that include the other substances as well?
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 16 Q. Yes, okay. So in this particular case, the actual amount
- of the controlled substance could be less than .446 grams; is
- 18 | that correct?
- 19 A. A quantitation was not performed.
- 20 Q. So you can't answer that question; is that correct?
- 21 A. I cannot.
- 22 Q. And just for reference, a general, like a sugar packet
- 23 that you can get at a restaurant or something like that,
- 24 | generally speaking has about 3.5 grams of sugar, do you know?
- 25 A. I do not know.

- 1 Would you disagree -- does that sound accurate as a 2 forensic chemist? 3 I cannot testify to something I do not know. 4 Q. But this would be .446 grams is substantially less than 5 that, less than 3.5 grams; is that correct? A. .446 is less than 3.5 grams, that is correct. 6 7 MS. TYNES: I tender the witness. THE COURT: Any redirect examination for this 8 9 witness? 10 MS. ROSE: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Madam, you may be 12 excused. Thank you, Your Honor. 13 WITNESS: 14 **THE COURT:** Who is your next witness? 15 MS. ROSE: The government does not have any 16 additional witnesses, Your Honor. THE COURT: I am really sorry, Ms. Rose, but you talk 17 18 really fast. I don't hear at the same speed that you talk. 19 What did you just say? 20 MS. ROSE: We do not have any additional witnesses,
- THE COURT: Does that mean the government rests?
- 23 **MS. ROSE:** That is correct, Your Honor.

Your Honor.

21

24 **THE COURT:** That is the proper terminology, and I thank you. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the government

has rested, which means that they have produced all of the

some matters with the lawyers outside of your presence before

1

3

2

evidence they intend for you to hear. I will need to take up

we can proceed. Please go back to the jury room.

4

(JURY OUT AT 4:24 P.M.)

6

7

5

MR. BUCKNER: Your Honor, may I return the exhibits to the table?

8

THE COURT: Yes, please. Thank you.

9

Ms. Tynes, Mr. Weber, the government has rested.

10

11

motions?

MR. WEBER: Yes, Your Honor.

12

Your Honor, the defendant at this time, pursuant to Rule 29 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure, moves the Court for a 13

14

judgment of acquittal. Looking at the evidence presented by

15

the government in the light most favorable to the government,

16 17 they have failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt the

18

elements of the offense. Specifically, whether -- they failed

to prove that Patrick Daniels knew he was an unlawful user and

19

that he was a member of this group that was prohibited from

20

I also renew my motion to dismiss for vagueness on its

possessing a firearm.

21 22

face and as applied. Going back to something that the Court

23

said in giving the preliminary instructions, the Court noted

24

that a user, as that term is defined by the Court, I believe

25

the Court said recent use or active use, there's no evidence

presented by the government of recent use or active use. The evidence was on the occasion of the stop, which was April 25th, he was found in possession of firearms and he was found in possession of partially burnt, what was referred to as blunts. There's no evidence that he was actively using this marijuana at the time of the stop.

THE COURT: What about his statement, that he uses marijuana 14 times a month from high school?

MR. WEBER: Your Honor, I understand that statement was made, Your Honor, but there's no timeframe in which to put that statement in. There's no, for example, urinalysis, hair sample testing that has been presented to the jury to show that the substance is even in his system. There was testimony that six weeks prior, approximately on March the 9th, he was found in possession of marijuana. But again, there's no indication that at that point he was notified that this -- written a ticket or arrested for this particular charge.

THE COURT: Let me put it to you this way: If you admit that you use marijuana and that you use it frequently, and at the time of your arrest you're found in possession of the remnants of marijuana cigarettes, could not the jury infer from those facts that you are a user?

MR. WEBER: Your Honor, that kind of --

THE COURT: Wouldn't that be a question of fact for the jury to resolve?

it?

MR. WEBER: Well, that's something that occurred in the case out of Utah, the Morales-Lopez. When the district judge -- the evidence in that case was that the defendant was found in possession of methamphetamine, and then admitted some five weeks prior to using methamphetamine. But what the Court found is that, and I'll read from the case, that Mr. Morales admitted to using methamphetamine and marijuana in late

November or early December of 2019. On January 10th of 2020, the same day he was arrested, he possessed methamphetamine.

Although the government argued that Mr. Morales' possession of methamphetamine is indicative of use, the government presents no evidence that Mr. Morales actually used drugs at any point in the five weeks leading up to his arrest. So we don't have --

THE COURT: I suppose Mr. Morales didn't confess to

MR. WEBER: That's true. We do have a statement, and I am not sure what the government can attach, as far as evidence, to that statement, perhaps the burnt marijuana in the glove compartment, but there's no evidence when that substance was used or not used.

THE COURT: Again, would that not be a question of fact for the jury to determine?

MR. WEBER: Perhaps.

THE COURT: All right. Here is what I am going to

do. I am going to consider your motion as two motions, one which is the judgment of acquittal on the question of whether the government has proven that he is a user beyond a reasonable doubt. And then I am going to bifurcate that, if you will, into the second motion for judgment of acquittal, which has to do with the facial attack on the constitutionality of the statute itself. I think I want to keep those things separate.

MR. WEBER: Yes, Your Honor. But I do want the record to be clear that based on the facts presented by the government today, I believe there is now an as-applied attack to 922(g)(3) to the facts as presented by the government.

THE COURT: All right. Does the government wish to respond?

MS. ROSE: Yes, Your Honor. With respect to the defendant's Rule 29 motion, especially considered in the light most favorable to the government, there has been evidence presented today that the defendant was actively using at this time. First and foremost, you heard the testimony of Officer Bell who said he smelled marijuana upon approaching the vehicle, and that was the reason he did the search of the car.

Additionally, we have the defendant's statements that he was a user, that he used 14 days a month. April is a 30-day-a-month month, so therefore he was probably using it every other day that month.

Additionally, he talks about the incident at Circle K

where he was using at that time. He talked about how he has been using since he graduated from high school. He is 26 years old. So he as been a regular user for eight years. The government would argue that there's sufficient evidence to say that Mr. Daniels is an unlawful user and that the jury can make that inference based on what they have heard.

With respect to the other argument, the government would rest on its motion. Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Weber?

MR. WEBER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I am going to do it this way.

On your motion for judgment of acquittal based upon the failure of the government to prove the essential element of user beyond a reasonable doubt, I must take the evidence which is offered by the government in the light most favorable to the government, and granting to that evidence all the more reasonable inferences that may be drawn from that evidence, and under those circumstances your motion should be denied.

Your motion with regard to the as-applied, or facial constitutional challenge to the statute itself, I am going to take that matter under advisement. And I am going to submit the matter to the jury at some point and come back later with a ruling on the constitutionality, if you will, the motion that you have made, I am going to call it the second motion, which challenges the vagueness of this particular statute. Is that

1 clear? 2 MR. WEBER: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 3 **THE COURT:** So your second motion, which has to do 4 with the constitutionality aspect of the statute is reserved 5 pursuant to Rule 29(b). I do intend to submit the matter for 6 the jury's resolution and ultimate reaching of a verdict, and then I will consider the motion after the verdict. 7 With regard to your first motion, the one that has to do 8 9 with the government's failure to prove beyond a reasonable doubt all the essential elements, that is denied. 10 11 Anything else? 12 MR. WEBER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Then I have a question. Are you ready to 13 14 proceed? 15 Yes, Your Honor. MR. WEBER: 16 THE COURT: Does the defendant intend to call any 17 witnesses? 18 MR. WEBER: No, Your Honor. 19 **THE COURT:** Does the defendant intend to testify? No, Your Honor. 20 MR. WEBER: 21 **THE COURT:** Then let me take up this matter, then. Ι am going to do it this way. 22 Mr. Daniels, your attorney, Mr. Weber, who is very 23 experienced and enjoys a very good reputation with this Court 24

as being a good lawyer, has indicated to the Court that you do

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not intend, or your team does not intend to offer any evidence or testimony in the case, and they also indicated that you do not intend to testify yourself. You have a constitutional right to remain silent, you don't have to testify at all. And I will instruct the jury that they cannot consider your election to remain silent as an indication of guilt or innocence. But on the other hand, you have the right to testify, that is you have the right to take the stand, raise your right hand, just like all these other witnesses have, and testify in this matter and tell your side of the story. clear that Mr. Weber and Ms. Tynes have probably counseled you not to do that, and you may or may not heed their counsel. other words, the decision whether to testify or not to testify is not left to your lawyers, it is left to you. Do you understand that, sir?

DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Is it your desire not to testify in this case?

DEFENDANT: I do not choose to testify, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. Thank you, you may be seated.

Let's talk about logistics, if we could, Mr. Weber. I don't want to bring the jury in and out and in and out. When the jury comes back, I presume that at that point you will simply rest, would that be accurate?

MR. WEBER: Yes, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And at that point, also, since it's getting close to 5:00, it would be my intention to let them go home and come back tomorrow at which time we would take up the matter of jury instructions and closing arguments. Would that be acceptable to the defendant? Yes, Your Honor. MR. WEBER: Mr. Buckner, Ms. Rose, is that acceptable THE COURT: to the government? MS. ROSE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Obviously I'm not going to ask the government if you have any rebuttal witnesses because there's nothing to rebut. So at that particular time, or when the defendant finally rests, can we simply move on from that point to excuse the jury for the evening? MS. ROSE: Yes, sir. Is that your position as well, Mr. Weber? THE COURT: Yes, Your Honor. MR. WEBER: THE COURT: Good. So let me walk myself through it. We're going to bring the jury back, you're going to rest, we're going to let the jury go home and let them come back to hear closing arguments and instructions. Now, I have got proposed instructions from both sides, and I want to take those instructions up with you tomorrow morning at 9:00, but I don't want the jury sitting around waiting for

So my proposal is to ask the jury to return, let's say, at

1 10:30, which will give us from 9:00 to 10:30 to approve the 2 instructions and to make -- and to record any objections that 3 there may be to the Court's instructions to the jury. 4 Now, I do intend to let you go home with at least a draft 5 of those instructions and you can look over them this evening; when we come back tomorrow morning at 9:00, at which time we'll 6 7 conduct an informal charge conference with regard to the instructions. Would that be acceptable to the government? 8 9 MS. ROSE: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Would that be acceptable, Mr. Weber, to 11 you? 12 Yes, Your Honor. MR. WEBER: And you as well, Ms. Tynes, I don't mean 13 THE COURT: to leave you out of the equation? 14 15 Yes, Your Honor. MS. TYNES: 16 Sounds like we have a plan. Please bring THE COURT: 17 in the jury. (JURY IN AT 4:37 P.M.) 18 19 **THE COURT:** What says the defendant? 20 MR. WEBER: The defense rests, Your Honor. THE COURT: Very well. Ladies and gentlemen of the 21 jury, both sides have finally rested, that means that you have 22 heard all the evidence that you may properly consider in 23 returning your verdict in this case. I am going to allow you 24 to go ahead and go home a little bit early, not real early, but 25

a little bit early. And I am also going to ask that you return tomorrow morning at 10:30, that gives you a little extra time to get that second cup of coffee, if you will, at which time we'll proceed with the case.

Now, as the case continues, the temptation to do research on your own, maybe talk about the case, maybe look up some terms, that temptation grows, and I ask you to resist it as best you can. Please remember the instructions of the Court. This case is not over. You see, even though you may have heard all the facts already, you have not heard the Court's instruction on the law, and that is the law that you must apply to these facts.

So I am going to ask that you return tomorrow morning at 10:30 a.m. at which time we'll take up the instructions of the Court on the law and we will take up the closing arguments by the lawyers. Please remember my instructions regarding your conduct outside of the courtroom. Don't talk with anyone about the case, don't permit anyone to talk with you about the case. In the event that the case that reported in the media, which I think is unlikely, but if it is, please don't read about it, don't listen to any radio or television newscast concerning it. Do not make any independent investigations or any independent research on your own. As I have told you before, you are to try this case and to reach your verdict based on the evidence that you hear in this courtroom alone. Don't use any

1 technological tools like the Internet or anything else in that 2 regard. 3 I will see you, then, tomorrow morning, the Court Security 4 Officer will show you where, at 10:30 a.m. and we'll continue 5 the case. Thank you and be careful going home. 6 (JURY OUT AT 4:40 P.M.) 7 THE COURT: All right. Just as I was telling them not to use any technology, it occurs to me there's no reason 8 9 for you all to sit around and wait. Would it be all right if I email you a draft of my proposed instructions? I don't want 10 11 you to have to sit around waiting for me to print it out. 12 Would that be all right with the government? MS. ROSE: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Weber, Ms. Tynes, would that be all 14 15 right with you? 16 MR. WEBER: Ms. Tynes and I are used to paper, Judge, 17 but we can do email, that's fine. 18 **THE COURT:** Well, I am not going to run you off, now. 19 If you would like to hang around and wait for me to actually 20 print out a copy, I am okay with that too, I just thought I'd make it easier on the parties. You tell me what you want to do 21 and I'll do it. 22 23 We can get it by email, Judge. MR. WEBER: THE COURT: 24 There you go.

We're just old, I guess.

MR. WEBER:

25

1	THE COURT: I'm with you. I'm going to print it out
2	for myself. Well, then we'll reconvene tomorrow morning at
3	9:00 a.m. at which time we'll take up an informal charge
4	conference in chambers and we'll go over the instructions.
5	We'll also go over closing argument and the final procedures
6	that we'll undertake while during closing arguments. The
7	defendant in the meantime is remanded back to the custody of
8	the United States Marshals, and the government will retain
9	custody of these firearms. See you tomorrow morning at 9:00.
10	(TRIAL RECESSED)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, Sherri L. Penny, RPR, FCRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings reported by me using the stenotype reporting method in conjunction with computer-aided transcription, and that same is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding.

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States.